

GROWTH OF PRIMARY DECOMPOSITIONS OF FROBENIUS POWERS OF IDEALS

TRUNG T. DINH

ABSTRACT. It was previously known, by work of Smith-Swanson and of Sharp-Nossem, that the linear growth property of primary decompositions of Frobenius powers of ideals in rings of prime characteristic has strong connections to the localization problem in tight closure theory. The localization problem has recently been settled in the negative by Brenner and Monsky, but the linear growth question is still open. We study growth of primary decompositions of Frobenius powers of dimension one homogeneous ideals in graded rings over fields. If the ring is positively graded we prove that the linear growth property holds. For non-negatively graded rings we are able to show that there is a “polynomial growth”. We present explicit primary decompositions of Frobenius powers of an ideal, which were known to have infinitely many associated primes, having this linear growth property. We also discuss some other interesting examples.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be a Noetherian commutative ring of prime characteristic p . Let I be an ideal of R . For each $q = p^e$ the q th *Frobenius power* of I , denoted by $I^{[q]}$, is the ideal of R generated by q th powers of generators of I . We say that the Frobenius powers of I have *linear growth of primary decompositions* if there exists a number c such that, for each $q = p^e$, there is a primary decomposition

$$I^{[q]} = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_r$$

with the property that $(\sqrt{Q_i})^{c[q]} \subseteq Q_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. (This number r depends on q).

The linear growth property of primary decompositions of Frobenius powers of ideals has strong connections to the localization problem in tight closure theory. This connection was first discovered by Smith and Swanson in [8]. In that paper they proved that if the linear growth property holds for an ideal, then tight closure commutes with the localization of that ideal at one element. Later, Sharp and Nossem [6] showed that, under the additional hypothesis that the ring R has a test element, and the set $\bigcup_{q=p^e} \text{Ass } R/I^{[q]}$ is finite, tight closure of I commutes with localization at arbitrary multiplicative subsets as long as the linear growth property holds for the Frobenius powers of I .

Beside its importance in tight closure theory, the problem of investigating the linear growth property of primary decompositions of Frobenius powers is interesting in its own right. One

of the reasons is that the ordinary powers of ideals were shown to have the linear growth property. (The definition of linear growth property for ordinary powers is obtained by replacing Frobenius powers in the statement by the corresponding ordinary powers). This fact was first proved by Swanson [9], and Sharp [5] and Yao [12] later independently gave shorter proofs. Though these proofs use different methods, they rely on the technique of passing to Rees algebras to reduce the problem to the case of principal ideals generated by non-zero divisors, and the fact that for any ideal I in a Noetherian ring R , the set $\bigcup_{n>0} \text{Ass } R/I^n$ is finite. One cannot hope to use similar ideas to attack the linear growth question of Frobenius powers of ideals, for there is no analogue of the concept of Rees algebras associated to Frobenius powers of ideals. Moreover, the set $\bigcup_{q=p^e} \text{Ass } R/I^{[q]}$ may be infinite due to examples of Katzman [3] and of Singh and Swanson [7].

It is an open question whether every ideal in Noetherian rings of prime characteristic has the linear growth property. There are no known examples of ideals for which the linear growth property does not hold. Due to the lack of techniques available in the literature to attack the problem, there has been a very limited effort to show that certain (classes of) ideals have the linear growth property. To the author's knowledge the only work toward this question, besides the very general statement of Sharp and Nossem mentioned above, was the work of Smith and Swanson in [8]. They proved that monomial ideals in a monomial ring have the linear growth property. Part of that paper was also devoted to analyzing primary decompositions of the Frobenius powers of the ideal $I = (x, y)$ in the hypersurface

$$R = \frac{k[t, x, y]}{(xy(x - y)(x - ty))},$$

in which k is a field of positive characteristic p . This is the well-known example with the property that the set $\bigcup_{q=p^e} \text{Ass } R/I^{[q]}$ is infinite, due to Katzman [3]. Smith and Swanson proved that this ideal have linear growth of primary decompositions. In [10, §3], Swanson raised the question whether for each concrete ideal I available in the literature with the property that $\bigcup_{q=p^e} \text{Ass } R/I^{[q]}$ is infinite, we can find “good” primary decompositions, namely decompositions growing linearly.

This paper was inspired by the work of Smith-Swanson and was partially motivated by the above question of Swanson. It is thus mainly concerned with homogeneous ideals of dimension one in graded rings over fields of prime characteristics. In Section 2 we give a short proof of the fact that for dimension one homogeneous ideals in a finitely generated, positively graded ring over a field of positive characteristic, the linear growth property holds.

Section 3 studies dimension one homogenous ideals in non-negatively graded, finitely generated rings over fields of positive characteristics. We are not able to show that the Frobenius powers of these ideals have linear growth of primary decompositions, but are able to prove that there are primary decompositions having polynomial growth. A consequence of this result, however, produces a class of ideals having the linear growth property, which includes Katzman's ideal as a special case.

Section 4 analyzes primary decompositions of the Frobenius powers of the ideal $I = (u, v, x, y)$ in the hypersurface

$$R = \frac{k[t, u, v, x, y]}{(u^2x^2 + tuvxy + v^2y^2)}.$$

This hypersurface was studied by Singh and Swanson in [7]. By a slight modification of their proofs, we show that the set $\bigcup_{q=p^e} \text{Ass } R/I^{[q]}$ is infinite. We prove that this ideal has the linear growth property.

Sections 5 and 6 are reserved for discussions of some other examples. In particular, we would like to pose a question on the finiteness of the set of associated primes of the Frobenius powers of the ideal studied by Monsky in [4], which was used recently by Brenner and Monsky in [1] to disprove the localization conjecture for tight closure. This is an interesting question since its answer will likely give us some information on the validity of the linear growth question.

2. DIMENSION ONE HOMOGENEOUS IDEALS IN POSITIVELY GRADED RINGS

It was shown independently by Huneke [2] and Vraciu [11] that, for dimension one homogeneous ideals in a finitely generated, positively graded ring over a field of positive characteristic, tight closure commutes with localization. Using some of the main results in these papers, we show in this section that such ideals have the linear growth property. In view of the result of Sharp and Nossem mentioned in the introduction, this gives an alternative proof of the commutativity of tight closure and localization for this class of ideals.

We first, however, start with a remark that for the linear growth question in general, we need only find bounds for the embedded components.

Proposition 2.1. *Let R be a Noetherian ring of prime characteristic p and I an ideal of R . Then there is a number c such that for each $q = p^e$ and for each primary decomposition*

$$I^{[q]} = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_r,$$

we have $(\sqrt{Q_i})^{c[q]} \subseteq Q_i$ for all isolated components Q_i .

Proof. If P is a minimal prime over I , then $IR_P \cap R$ is the unique isolated component of I whose radical is P , hence $P = \sqrt{IR_P \cap R}$. There are only a finite number of minimal primes over I , thus we can find a number c such that $P^c \subseteq IR_P \cap R$ for all P minimal over I . Now let $q = p^e$. For each prime ideal P minimal over I we have $Q = I^{[q]}R_P \cap R$ is the unique isolated component of $I^{[q]}$ whose radical is P , and

$$(\sqrt{Q})^{c[q]} = P^{c[q]} \subseteq (IR_P \cap R)^{[q]} \subseteq I^{[q]}R_P \cap R = Q.$$

This gives us the conclusion of the proposition. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. *Let R be a finitely generated, positively graded ring over a field of prime characteristic p . Let I be a homogeneous ideal of height $d - 1$, where d is the dimension of R . Then the Frobenius powers of I have linear growth of primary decompositions.*

Proof. Denote by $\mathfrak{m}$ the maximal homogeneous ideal of R . Let $z \in \mathfrak{m}$ be such that it is not contained in any minimal prime of I . We claim that there is a constant c such that

$$I^{[q]} : z^\infty = I^{[q]} : z^{cq}$$

for all $q = p^e$. Here we define $I^{[q]} : J^\infty = \bigcup_{n>0} I^{[q]} : J^n$ for each ideal J of R .

To prove the claim, we note that it was proved in [11], or [2], that there is a constant c such that

$$I^{[q]} : \mathfrak{m}^\infty = I^{[q]} : \mathfrak{m}^{cq}$$

for all $q = p^e$. Let $a \in I^{[q]} : z^\infty$ be an arbitrary element. Then $az^n \in I^{[q]}$ for some n . Since z is not in any minimal prime of I , the ideal $(z^n, I^{[q]})$ contains some power of $\mathfrak{m}$. Hence

$$a \in I^{[q]} : \mathfrak{m}^\infty = I^{[q]} : \mathfrak{m}^{cq} \subseteq I^{[q]} : z^{cq}.$$

Now the claim is proved, and it follows that

$$I^{[q]} = (I^{[q]} + Rz^{cq}) \cap (I^{[q]} : z^\infty).$$

Since z is not contained in any minimal prime of I , the ideal $I^{[q]} : z^\infty$ is precisely the intersection of all isolated components of any primary decomposition of $I^{[q]}$, and the ideal $I^{[q]} + Rz^{cq}$ is primary to the homogeneous maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Pick a number c' such that $I + Rz^c \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^{c'}$. Then

$$I^{[q]} + Rz^{cq} \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^{c'[q]}.$$

Therefore, combining with Proposition 2.1, from the decomposition

$$I^{[q]} = (I^{[q]} + Rz^{cq}) \cap (I^{[q]} : z^\infty),$$

we obtain primary decompositions with the desired property. $\square$

3. DIMENSION ONE HOMOGENEOUS IDEALS IN NON-NEGATIVELY GRADED RINGS

Let k be a field of positive characteristic p . We define an $\mathbb{N}$ -grading on the polynomial ring $k[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ as follows: $\deg t = 0$ and $\deg x_i = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. In this section we study primary decompositions of the Frobenius powers of the dimension one ideal $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in the graded ring

$$R = \frac{k[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]}{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)}$$

in which $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r$ are homogeneous polynomials. We are not able to prove that the linear growth property holds in this case, but are able to establish polynomial growth. We will need some preparation.

The following lemma was extracted from the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [8].

Lemma 3.1. *Let k be a field of prime characteristic p and*

$$R = \frac{k[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]}{(f_1, \dots, f_r)},$$

where $t, x_1, \dots, x_n$ are variables and $f_1, \dots, f_r$ are homogeneous polynomials (with respect to the grading defined earlier) of positive degrees. Let $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Suppose that for each $q = p^e$ there is a polynomial $h_q(t) \in k[t]$ such that the ideal $I^{[q]} : h_q(t)$ is primary to the ideal $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Let $h_q(t) = \tau_1^{s_1} \tau_2^{s_2} \dots \tau_l^{s_l}$ be the decomposition of $h_q(t)$ into a product of powers of distinct irreducible polynomials. (This number l may depend on q). Then there is a constant c such that for each $q = p^e$ the ideal $I^{[q]}$ has a primary decomposition

$$I^{[q]} = Q \cap Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_l,$$

in which Q is primary to $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, and $Q_i = I^{[q]} + R\tau_i^{s_i}$ is primary to $(x_1, \dots, x_n, \tau_i)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, l$. Furthermore,

$$(\sqrt{Q_i})^{cq+s_i} \subseteq Q_i$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, l$.

Proof. Saying that $I^{[q]} : h_q(t)$ is primary to $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is equivalent to saying that

$$I^{[q]} : h_q(t) = (I^{[q]} : h_q(t)) : g(t)$$

for all $g(t) \in k[t] \setminus \{0\}$. In particular when applied to $g(t) = h_q(t)$ we obtain that

$$I^{[q]} = (I^{[q]} + Rh_q(t)) \cap (I^{[q]} : h_q(t)).$$

The ideal $Q = I^{[q]} : h_q(t)$ is primary to $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ as defined. We want to analyze primary decompositions of the ideal $I^{[q]} + Rh_q(t)$.

The ideal $I^{[q]} + Rh_q(t)$ has dimension 0, thus every minimal prime must be maximal. Each maximal ideal containing $I^{[q]} + Rh_q(t)$ must be of the form $(x_1, \dots, x_n, \tau_i)$ for some i . We have the following obvious inclusion

$$I^{[q]} + Rh_q(t) \subseteq (I^{[q]} + R\tau_1^{s_1}) \cap (I^{[q]} + R\tau_2^{s_2}) \cap \dots \cap (I^{[q]} + R\tau_l^{s_l}).$$

For the reverse inclusion, let Q_i be the unique $(x_1, \dots, x_n, \tau_i)$ -primary component of $I^{[q]} + Rh_q(t)$. Then

$$Q_i = (I^{[q]} + Rh_q(t)) R_{(x_1, \dots, x_n, \tau_i)} \cap R \supseteq (I^{[q]} + R\tau_i^{s_i}).$$

Hence

$$I^{[q]} + Rh_q(t) = (I^{[q]} + R\tau_1^{s_1}) \cap (I^{[q]} + R\tau_2^{s_2}) \cap \dots \cap (I^{[q]} + R\tau_l^{s_l}).$$

Our discussion so far leads to the following primary decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} I^{[q]} &= (I^{[q]} : h_q(t)) \cap (I^{[q]} + R\tau_1^{s_1}) \cap (I^{[q]} + R\tau_2^{s_2}) \cap \dots \cap (I^{[q]} + R\tau_l^{s_l}) \\ &= Q \cap Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \dots \cap Q_l, \end{aligned}$$

in which $\sqrt{Q_i} = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \tau_i)$, and clearly

$$(\sqrt{Q_i})^{nq+s_i} = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \tau_i)^{nq+s_i} \subset (x_1^q, \dots, x_n^q, \tau_i^{s_i}) = I^{[q]} + R\tau_i^{s_i},$$

as desired. $\square$

The lemma above in some sense says that there are primary decompositions of the Frobenius powers of the ideal I which grow at most as fast as the “primary decompositions” of the polynomials $h_q(t)$. The proposition below shows that there are such polynomials whose “primary decompositions” grow polynomially. We first need a lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let R be a domain and $(a_{ij} : i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, l)$ a matrix with entries in R . Let $b_1, \dots, b_l$ be elements in the quotient field of R such that for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, the sum $a_{i1}b_1 + \dots + a_{il}b_l$ is an element of R and is divisible by every non-zero minor of the matrix (a_{ij}) . Then there exist $b'_1, b'_2, \dots, b'_l$ in R such that $a_{i1}b_1 + \dots + a_{il}b_l = a_{i1}b'_1 + \dots + a_{il}b'_l$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.*

Proof. We may assume that $k \leq l$ and that the matrix (a_{ij}) has maximal rank. We may then assume that the submatrix $(a_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq k)$ has nonzero determinant. Now let $(b'_1, \dots, b'_l)$ be the unique solution in the quotient field of R of the linear system

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & \dots & a_{1l} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & \dots & a_{2l} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \dots & \cdot \\ a_{k1} & a_{k2} & \dots & \dots & a_{kl} \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \dots & \cdot \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_l \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}b_1 + \dots + a_{1l}b_l \\ a_{21}b_1 + \dots + a_{2l}b_l \\ \cdot \\ a_{k1}b_1 + \dots + a_{kl}b_l \\ 0 \\ \cdot \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using Cramer’s rule and the fact that the determinant of the coefficient matrix divides every entry of the column vector on the right-hand side, we can conclude that this solution is in R . $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *Let $S = k[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring in $n + 1$ variables over a field k . Define the grading as in the beginning of the section. Let $f_1, \dots, f_r$ be homogeneous polynomials of positive degrees. Then for each positive integer q there is a polynomial $h_q(t)$ in $k[t]$ such that the ideal $(x_1^q, x_2^q, \dots, x_n^q, f_1, \dots, f_r) :_S h_q(t)$ is primary to $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.*

Moreover these polynomials h_q can be chosen to have the following property: there is a constant c not depending on q such that for each decomposition $h_q(t) = \tau_1^{s_1} \tau_2^{s_2} \dots \tau_l^{s_l}$ into a product of powers of distinct irreducible polynomials, the numbers s_j are bounded above by cq^{n-1} .

Proof. To simplify the notation let $\mathbf{x}$ denote $x_1, \dots, x_n$, and for each $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, where $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of non-negative integers, let $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}$ stand for $x_1^{u_1} \dots x_n^{u_n}$, $|\mathbf{u}|$ stand for the integer $u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n$ and $\|\mathbf{u}\|$ denote $\max\{u_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$.

Let $\deg f_i = d_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, r$ and write

$$f_i = \sum_{|\mathbf{v}|=d_i} A_{i,\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}},$$

where $A_{i,\mathbf{v}} \in k[t]$ for all i and $\mathbf{v}$. We call the $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ the monomial terms of f_i .

For each positive integer d we define the matrix M_d as follows. The rows of this matrix are indexed by the vectors $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\mathbf{u}| = d$ and $\|\mathbf{u}\| < q$. Its columns are indexed by the vectors $\mathbf{w}^i \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\mathbf{w}^i| = d - d_i$ and $i = 1, \dots, r$. For each such $\mathbf{u}$ and such $\mathbf{w}^i$ the $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}^i)$ -entry is $A_{i,\mathbf{v}}$ if $\mathbf{w}^i + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}$ and is 0 otherwise. Now we define $h_q(t)$ to be the least common multiple of all the non-zero minors of all matrices M_d with $1 \leq d \leq n(q-1)$.

It is clear that there is a number α such that the sizes of the matrices M_d with $d = 1, \dots, n(q-1)$, are bounded above by αq^{n-1} , for all q . Hence the nonzero minors of these matrices are polynomials in t of degrees bounded above by βq^{n-1} for some β not depending on q . The polynomial $h_q(t)$ was defined to be the least common multiple of all these polynomials, thus there is a positive integer c such that for every decomposition $h_q(t) = \tau_1^{s_1} \tau_2^{s_2} \cdots \tau_l^{s_l}$ into a product of powers of distinct irreducible polynomials, the numbers s_j are bounded by cq^{n-1} , for all q .

The main task now is to show that for any $g(t) \in k[t] \setminus \{0\}$ we have

$$(x_1^q, \dots, x_n^q, f_1, \dots, f_r) : h_q(t) = (x_1^q, \dots, x_n^q, f_1, \dots, f_r) : h_q(t)g(t).$$

Let $f \in (x_1^q, \dots, x_n^q, f_1, \dots, f_r) : h_q(t)g(t)$. That means

$$fg(t)h_q(t) \in (x_1^q, \dots, x_n^q, f_1, \dots, f_r).$$

We need to show that $fh_q(t) \in (x_1^q, \dots, x_n^q, f_1, \dots, f_r)$.

Since $(x_1^q, \dots, x_n^q, f_1, \dots, f_r)$ is homogeneous, we may assume that f is homogeneous as well. We may further assume that none of the monomial terms of f is divisible by any of x_i^q and that the degree d of f is at most $n(q-1)$. We have a presentation

$$\begin{aligned} & fg(t)h_q(t) \\ &= \sum b_i x_i^q + \left(\sum_{|\mathbf{w}|=d-d_1} c_{1,\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}} \right) f_1 + \cdots + \left(\sum_{|\mathbf{w}|=d-d_r} c_{r,\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}} \right) f_r \quad (c_{j,\mathbf{w}} \in k[t], b_i \in S) \\ &= \sum b_i x_i^q + \sum_{|\mathbf{u}|=d} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c_{1,\mathbf{w}} A_{1,\mathbf{v}} \right) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} + \cdots + \sum_{|\mathbf{u}|=d} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c_{r,\mathbf{w}} A_{r,\mathbf{v}} \right) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} \\ &= \sum b_i x_i^q + \sum_{|\mathbf{u}|=d} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c_{1,\mathbf{w}} A_{1,\mathbf{v}} + \sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c_{2,\mathbf{w}} A_{2,\mathbf{v}} + \cdots + \sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c_{r,\mathbf{w}} A_{r,\mathbf{v}} \right) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} \\ &= \sum_{|\mathbf{u}|=d, \|\mathbf{u}\| < q} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c_{1,\mathbf{w}} A_{1,\mathbf{v}} + \sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c_{2,\mathbf{w}} A_{2,\mathbf{v}} + \cdots + \sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c_{r,\mathbf{w}} A_{r,\mathbf{v}} \right) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the assumption that none of the monomial terms of f is divisible by any of x_i^q for all i . It follows from this equality that for each $\mathbf{u}$ with $|\mathbf{u}| = d$ and $\|\mathbf{u}\| < q$ we have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c_{1,\mathbf{w}} A_{1,\mathbf{v}} + \sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c_{2,\mathbf{w}} A_{2,\mathbf{v}} + \cdots + \sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c_{r,\mathbf{w}} A_{r,\mathbf{v}} \equiv 0 \pmod{g(t)h_q(t)},$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} \frac{c_{1,\mathbf{w}}}{g(t)} A_{1,\mathbf{v}} + \sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} \frac{c_{2,\mathbf{w}}}{g(t)} A_{2,\mathbf{v}} + \cdots + \sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} \frac{c_{r,\mathbf{w}}}{g(t)} A_{r,\mathbf{v}}$$

is an element of $k[t]$ and is divisible by $h_q(t)$. Apply Lemma 3.2 in this situation, with the matrix (a_{ij}) taken as the matrix M_d defined earlier, and the b_j taken as the $\frac{c_{j,\mathbf{w}}}{g(t)}$. Note that by the definition of $h_q(t)$, the above sum is divisible by each nonzero minor of the matrix (a_{ij}) . Therefore by Lemma 3.2 we can find $\{c'_{1,\mathbf{w}} : |\mathbf{w}| = d - d_1\}, \dots, \{c'_{r,\mathbf{w}} : |\mathbf{w}| = d - d_r\}$ in $k[t]$ such that for each $\mathbf{u}$ with $|\mathbf{u}| = d$ and $\|\mathbf{u}\| < q$,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} \frac{c_{1,\mathbf{w}}}{g(t)} A_{1,\mathbf{v}} + \cdots + \sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} \frac{c_{r,\mathbf{w}}}{g(t)} A_{r,\mathbf{v}} = \sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c'_{1,\mathbf{w}} A_{1,\mathbf{v}} + \cdots + \sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c'_{r,\mathbf{w}} A_{r,\mathbf{v}}.$$

This in turn implies that

$$\begin{aligned} fh_q(t) &= \sum_{|\mathbf{u}|=d, \|\mathbf{u}\|<q} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c'_{1,\mathbf{w}} A_{1,\mathbf{v}} + \sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c'_{2,\mathbf{w}} A_{2,\mathbf{v}} + \cdots + \sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c'_{r,\mathbf{w}} A_{r,\mathbf{v}} \right) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} \\ &= \sum b'_i x_i^q + \sum_{|\mathbf{u}|=d} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c'_{1,\mathbf{w}} A_{1,\mathbf{v}} + \sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c'_{2,\mathbf{w}} A_{2,\mathbf{v}} + \cdots + \sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c'_{r,\mathbf{w}} A_{r,\mathbf{v}} \right) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} \\ &= \sum b'_i x_i^q + \sum_{|\mathbf{u}|=d} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c'_{1,\mathbf{w}} A_{1,\mathbf{v}} \right) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} + \cdots + \sum_{|\mathbf{u}|=d} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}} c'_{r,\mathbf{w}} A_{r,\mathbf{v}} \right) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} \\ &= \sum b'_i x_i^q + \left(\sum_{|\mathbf{w}|=d-d_1} c'_{1,\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}} \right) f_1 + \cdots + \left(\sum_{|\mathbf{w}|=d-d_r} c'_{r,\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}} \right) f_r, \end{aligned}$$

where the b'_i are polynomials in S . Hence $f \in (x_1^q, \dots, x_n^q, f_1, \dots, f_r) : h_q(t)$. $\square$

The main result of this section is the following

Theorem 3.4. *Let k be a field of prime characteristic p . Fix $n \geq 2$ and set*

$$R = \frac{k[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]}{(f_1, \dots, f_r)},$$

where $t, x_1, \dots, x_n$ are variables and $f_1, \dots, f_r$ are homogeneous polynomials with respect to the grading in which $\deg t = 0$ and $\deg x_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Then there is a constant c such that for each $q = p^e$ there is a primary decomposition

$$I^{[q]} = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_m$$

with the property that $(\sqrt{Q_i})^{c[q^{n-1}]} \subseteq Q_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. We may assume that the f_i are of positive degrees. Proposition 3.3 guarantees the existence of polynomials $h_q(t) \in k[t]$ such that for each $q = p^e$ the ideal $I^{[q]} : h_q(t)$ is primary to the ideal $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Moreover, there is a constant c with the property that for every irreducible decomposition

$$h_q(t) = \tau_1^{s_1} \cdots \tau_l^{s_l},$$

the exponents s_j are bounded above by cq^{n-1} . Now the conclusion of the theorem follows from Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 2.1. $\square$

Corollary 3.5. *Let k be a field of prime characteristic p and consider*

$$R = \frac{k[t, x, y]}{(f_1, \dots, f_r)},$$

where $f_1, \dots, f_r$ are homogeneous polynomials with respect to the grading in which $\deg t = 0$ and $\deg x = \deg y = 1$. Then the Frobenius powers of the ideal $I = (x, y)$ have linear growth of primary decompositions.

4. EXPLICIT PRIMARY DECOMPOSITIONS OF AN EXAMPLE OF SINGH AND SWANSON

Let k be a field of prime characteristic p . It was proved in [7] that, for the integral domain

$$R = \frac{k[t, u, v, x, y]}{(u^2x^2 + tuxvy + v^2y^2)},$$

the set

$$\bigcup_{q=p^e} \text{Ass} \frac{R}{(x^q, y^q)}$$

is infinite. By a slight modification of their proofs we show in this section that the same conclusion holds for the Frobenius powers of the ideal (u, v, x, y) , namely that the set

$$\bigcup_{q=p^e} \text{Ass} \frac{R}{(u^q, v^q, x^q, y^q)}$$

is also infinite. Therefore, as mentioned in the introduction of this paper, we want to analyze primary decompositions of the Frobenius powers of the dimension one ideal $I = (u, v, x, y)$ in this hypersurface. We show that they have linear growth property.

To prove the infiniteness of the set of associated primes mentioned above, we need a modification of the Proposition 2.2 in [7].

Proposition 4.1. *Let A be an $\mathbb{N}$ -graded ring which is generated, as an A_0 -algebra, by nonzerodivisors $t_1, \dots, t_n$ of degree 1. Let R be the extension ring*

$$R = \frac{A[u_1, \dots, u_n, x_1, \dots, x_n]}{(u_1x_1 - t_1, \dots, u_nx_n - t_n)}.$$

Let $m_1, \dots, m_n$ be positive integers and $f \in A$ a homogeneous element of degree r . Then whenever $k_1, \dots, k_n$ are positive integers such that $k_i + m_i > r$ for all i , we have

$$(x_1^{m_1+k_1}, \dots, x_n^{m_n+k_n}, u_1^{m_1+k_1}, \dots, u_n^{m_n+k_n})R :_{A_0} f x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n} = (t_1^{m_1}, \dots, t_n^{m_n})A :_{A_0} f.$$

Proof. The inclusion $\supseteq$ is obvious. Define a $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ -grading in R as follows: $\deg x_i = e_i$, $\deg u_i = e_{n+1} - e_i$ and $\deg h = re_{n+1}$ if $h \in A_r$, where $e_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ is the i th standard basis element of $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$. Now let $h \in A_0$ be such that

$$hf x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n} \in (x_1^{m_1+k_1}, \dots, x_n^{m_n+k_n}, u_1^{m_1+k_1}, \dots, u_n^{m_n+k_n})R.$$

Then there are homogeneous elements $c_1, \dots, c_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$ in R satisfying

$$hf x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n} = c_1 x_1^{m_1+k_1} + \cdots + c_n x_n^{m_n+k_n} + b_1 u_1^{m_1+k_1} + \cdots + b_n u_n^{m_n+k_n}.$$

The degree of the element on the left is $re_{n+1} + k_1 e_1 + \cdots + k_n e_n = (k_1, \dots, k_n, r)$. By the assumption that $k_i + m_i > r$ for all i we can easily see that $b_1 = \cdots = b_n = 0$. The proposition now follows from [7, Proposition 2.2]. $\square$

We will also make use of the following result from [7].

Lemma 4.2. [7, Lemma 4.4(3) and Lemma 3.3] *Consider the polynomial ring $k[t, x, y]$. Define the sequence of polynomials $P_0 = 1, P_1 = t, P_{n+1} = tP_n - P_{n-1}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$*

(a) *For any positive integer n , one has*

$$(x^n, y^n, x^2 + txy + y^2) :_{k[t]} xy^{n-1} = (P_{n-1}).$$

(b) *If $\text{char } k = p > 0$ then the set $\{P_{p^e-2} : e \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has infinitely many irreducible factors.*

Theorem 4.3. *Let k be a field of prime characteristic p and*

$$R = \frac{k[t, u, v, x, y]}{(u^2 x^2 + t u x v y + v^2 y^2)}.$$

Then the set

$$\bigcup_{q=p^e} \text{Ass} \frac{R}{(u^q, v^q, x^q, y^q)}$$

is infinite.

Proof. For each $q = p^e$ define the ideal

$$J_q = (u^q, v^q, x^q, y^q)R :_R (ux)(vy)^{q-2}uv.$$

Set $A_0 = k[t]$ and $A = \frac{k[t][a, b]}{a^2 + tab + b^2}$. With this setting then the ring R can be presented as

$$R = \frac{A[u, v, x, y]}{(ux - a, vy - b)}.$$

Thus applying Proposition 4.1 with $f = ab^{q-2} \in A_{q-1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_q \cap k[t] &= (u^q, v^q, x^q, y^q)R :_{k[t]} (ux)(vy)^{q-2}uv \\ &= (a^{q-1}, b^{q-1})A :_{k[t]} ab^{q-2} \\ &= (P_{q-2}) \quad \text{by Lemma 4.2(a).} \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(u^q, v^q, x^q, y^q, P_{q-2}) \subseteq J_q \subseteq (u, v, x, y, P_{q-2}).$$

Hence $\text{Min}(J_q) = \text{Min}(u, v, x, y, P_{q-2})$. The set $\bigcup_{e \in \mathbb{N}_+} \text{Min}(u, v, x, y, P_{p^e-2})$ is infinite since the set of irreducible factors of the members of $\{P_{p^e-2} : e \in \mathbb{N}_+\}$ is infinite by Lemma 4.2(b). Thus the set

$$\bigcup_{q=p^e} \text{Ass} \frac{R}{(u^q, v^q, x^q, y^q)}$$

is infinite as well. $\square$

We now try to analyze primary decompositions of the Frobenius powers of the ideal $I = (u, v, x, y)$ in the ring of Theorem 4.3. We will actually work in a more general context, namely in the hypersurfaces

$$R = \frac{k[t, u, v, x, y]}{(r_0 u^2 x^2 + r_1 u x v y + r_2 v^2 y^2)}$$

where r_0, r_1, r_2 are polynomials in $k[t]$. We will show that the Frobenius powers of the ideal $I = (u, v, x, y)$ have linear growth of primary decompositions for a large class of polynomials r_0, r_1, r_2 , which includes the example above.

For each set of polynomials r_0, r_1, r_2 in $k[t]$ we define a generalization of the sequence of polynomials defined in Lemma 4.2 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= 1, \\ P_1 &= r_1, \\ P_{n+1} &= r_1 P_n - r_0 r_2 P_{n-1} \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that P_n is just the determinant of the $n \times n$ matrix

$$M_n = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & r_0 & & & \\ r_2 & r_1 & r_0 & & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & r_2 & r_1 & r_0 \\ & & & r_2 & r_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The following lemma generalizes Lemma 4.4(1) from [7].

Lemma 4.4. *Consider the polynomial ring $k[t, x, y]$ and an integer $n \geq 1$. Then*

$$xy^{n-1} P_{n-1} \in (x^n, y^n, r_0 x^2 + r_1 xy + r_2 y^2).$$

Proof. With the grading $\deg t = 0, \deg x = \deg y = 1$, the module

$$W = \frac{k[t, x, y]}{(x^n, y^n, r_0 x^2 + r_1 xy + r_2 y^2)}$$

is $\mathbb{N}$ -graded. Its n th graded component W_n , considered as module over $k[t]$, is generated by

$$x^{n-1}y, x^{n-2}y^2, \dots, xy^{n-1}.$$

The relations among these generators come from the equations

$$x^{n-2-i}y^i(r_0x^2 + r_1xy + r_2y^2) = 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, n-2.$$

This implies that the relation matrix for W_n , as a $k[t]$ -module, is precisely the matrix M_{n-1} defined above. Therefore the determinant of this matrix, which is P_{n-1} , annihilates W_n , and that implies the conclusion of the lemma. $\square$

We use this lemma to prove the following

Lemma 4.5. *Let k be any field and $S = k[t, u, v, x, y]$. For each integer $n \geq 0$ define*

$$I_n = (u^n, v^n, x^n, y^n, r_0u^2x^2 + r_1uxvy + r_2v^2y^2).$$

Assume furthermore that $2 \deg r_1 > \deg r_0 + \deg r_2$. Then

(a) *The polynomial P_n is nonzero for each $n \geq 0$.*

(b) *Denote by L_n the least common multiple of all (nonzero) polynomials $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{n-1}$. We have*

$$(r_0r_2)^{a+b}L_n(ux)^a(vy)^bx^cy^d \in I_n$$

for all $a, b, c, d \geq 0$ and $2a + 2b + c + d = 2n$.

(c) *$I_n : r_0^n r_2^n L_n \supseteq I_n + (u, v, x, y)^{2n}$.*

Proof. (a) It is easy to show, by induction, that the degree of the polynomial P_n is $n \deg r_1$, provided $2 \deg r_1 > \deg r_0 + \deg r_2$. Hence P_n is a nonzero polynomial for each $n \geq 0$.

(b) We shall prove that for $c \leq d$ we have

$$r_0^a L_n(ux)^a(vy)^bx^cy^d \in I_n,$$

and for $c \geq d$,

$$r_2^b L_n(ux)^a(vy)^bx^cy^d \in I_n.$$

It is clear that we may assume that $c \geq d$ without loss of generality. We shall proceed by induction on b . If $b = 0$ then $a + c \geq n$, hence $(ux)^ax^c \in I_n$. The next step in the induction procedure is when $b = 1$. We consider two cases, according as $c > d$ and $c = d$.

If $c > d$ then from $2a + c + d = 2n - 2$ we get $a + c > n - 1$, hence $(ux)^ax^c \in I_n$. Now suppose $c = d$. Put $m = n - c$. Hence $a + 1 = m$ and it suffices to show that

$$L_n(ux)^a(vy) \in ((ux)^m, (vy)^m, r_0(ux)^2 + r_1uxvy + r_2(vy)^2).$$

But this follows from the Lemma 4.4 above and the definition of L_n .

Now let $b \geq 2$ and suppose the conclusion is true for integers smaller than b . Modulo $r_0(ux)^2 + r_1uxvy + r_2(vy)^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_2^b L_n(ux)^a (vy)^b x^c y^d &= -r_2^{b-1} L_n(ux)^a (vy)^{b-2} (r_0(ux)^2 + r_1uxvy) x^c y^d \\ &= -r_0 r_2^{b-1} L_n(ux)^{a+2} (vy)^{b-2} x^c y^d - \\ &\quad -r_1 r_2^{b-1} L_n(ux)^{a+1} (vy)^{b-1} x^c y^d, \end{aligned}$$

and by the induction hypothesis this sum belongs to I_n .

(c) This follows easily from (b). Indeed, consider a, b, c, d with $a + b + c + d = 2n$, we want to show that $r_0^n r_2^n L_n x^a y^b u^c v^d \in I_n$. We may assume that $a \geq c$ and $b \geq d$. Then $c + d \leq n$ and

$$r_0^n r_2^n L_n x^a y^b u^c v^d = r_0^n r_2^n L_n (ux)^c (vy)^d x^{a-c} y^{b-d} \in I_n$$

by (b). □

Proposition 4.6. *With the same notations as in Lemma 4.5, for any $g(t) \in k[t] \setminus \{0\}$ and for any positive integer n we have*

$$(I_n + (u, v, x, y)^{2n}) : r_0^{2n} r_2^{2n} g(t) = (I_n + (u, v, x, y)^{2n}) : r_0^{2n} r_2^{2n}.$$

Proof. We may assume that $r_0 r_2$ is nonzero. Let $f(t, u, v, x, y)$ be a homogeneous polynomial in u, v, x, y of degree $d < 2n$. We need to show that if

$$f(t, u, v, x, y) g(t) r_0^{2n} r_2^{2n} \in I_n$$

for some $g(t) \in k[t] \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$f(t, u, v, x, y) r_0^{2n} r_2^{2n} \in I_n.$$

We may assume that none of the monomial terms of $f(t, u, v, x, y)$ is divisible by any of u^n, v^n, x^n, y^n . We have a presentation

$$\begin{aligned} &f(t, u, v, x, y) g(t) r_0^{2n} r_2^{2n} \\ &= Au^n + Bv^n + Cx^n + Dy^n + \left(\sum_{i,j,r,s} E_{js}^{ir} u^i v^j x^r y^s \right) (r_0 u^2 x^2 + r_1 u x v y + r_2 v^2 y^2), \end{aligned}$$

where A, B, C, D are polynomials in t, u, v, x, y which are homogeneous in u, v, x, y , E_{js}^{ir} are polynomials in $k[t]$ and the sum is taken over all $i, j, r, s \geq 0$ with $i + j + r + s = d - 4$. In the sequel when the conditions on the indices are omitted we always mean that the sums are taken so that the terms are of correct degrees. We may write the right-hand side as

$$\begin{aligned} &Au^n + Bv^n + Cx^n + Dy^n + \\ &+ \sum r_0 E_{js}^{ir} u^{i+2} v^j x^{r+2} y^s + \sum r_1 E_{js}^{ir} u^{i+1} v^{j+1} x^{r+1} y^{s+1} + \sum r_2 E_{js}^{ir} u^i v^{j+2} x^r y^{s+2} \\ &= Au^n + Bv^n + Cx^n + Dy^n + \\ &+ \sum r_0 E_{js}^{i-2, r-2} u^i v^j x^r y^s + \sum r_1 E_{j-1, s-1}^{i-1, r-1} u^i v^j x^r y^s + \sum r_2 E_{j-2, s-2}^{ir} u^i v^j x^r y^s. \end{aligned}$$

Since we assumed that none of the monomial terms of $f(t, u, v, x, y)$ is divisible by any of u^n, v^n, x^n, y^n , the terms that are divisible by any of u^n, v^n, x^n, y^n in the above sum cancel. We finally get

$$(1) \quad f(t, u, v, x, y)g(t)r_0^{2n}r_2^{2n} = \sum_{\substack{i+j+r+s=d \\ 0 \leq i, j, r, s \leq n-1}} \left(r_0 E_{js}^{i-2, r-2} + r_1 E_{j-1, s-1}^{i-1, r-1} + r_2 E_{j-2, s-2}^{ir} \right) u^i v^j x^r y^s,$$

in which, by convention, $E_{js}^{ir} = 0$ if $\min\{i, j, r, s\} < 0$. It follows from (1) that

$$(2) \quad r_0 E_{js}^{i-2, r-2} + r_1 E_{j-1, s-1}^{i-1, r-1} + r_2 E_{j-2, s-2}^{ir} \equiv 0 \pmod{r_0^{2n} r_2^{2n} g(t)}$$

for all $i + j + r + s = d$, $0 \leq i, j, r, s \leq n - 1$. We claim that in fact $g(t)$ divides each E_{js}^{ir} that appears in the above sums.

We first prove the Proposition assuming the claim. If $g(t)$ divides each E_{js}^{ir} , then there are $\bar{E}_{js}^{ir}$ in $k[t]$ such that $E_{js}^{ir} = g(t)\bar{E}_{js}^{ir}$ for all i, j, r, s . It then follows from (1) that

$$f(t, u, v, x, y)r_0^{2n}r_2^{2n} = \sum_{\substack{i+j+r+s=d \\ 0 \leq i, j, r, s \leq n-1}} \left(r_0 \bar{E}_{js}^{i-2, r-2} + r_1 \bar{E}_{j-1, s-1}^{i-1, r-1} + r_2 \bar{E}_{j-2, s-2}^{ir} \right) u^i v^j x^r y^s.$$

Now by tracing back the calculations made in the previous paragraphs and using the same regrouping arguments as in the end of the proof of Proposition 3.3 we get

$$f(t, u, v, x, y)r_0^{2n}r_2^{2n} = \bar{A}u^n + \bar{B}v^n + \bar{C}x^n + \bar{D}y^n + \left(\sum \bar{E}_{js}^{ir} u^i v^j x^r y^s \right) (r_0 u^2 x^2 + r_1 u x v y + r_2 v^2 y^2),$$

which of course gives us that

$$f(t, u, v, x, y)r_0^{2n}r_2^{2n} \in I_n.$$

We now prove the claim. Define the following sets

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \{E_{js}^{ir} \mid i + j + r + s = d - 4, \quad -2 \leq i, r < n - 2, \quad 0 \leq j, s < n\}, \\ A_1 &= \{E_{js}^{ir} \mid i + j + r + s = d - 4, \quad -1 \leq i, j, r, s < n - 1\}, \\ A_2 &= \{E_{js}^{ir} \mid i + j + r + s = d - 4, \quad 0 \leq i, r < n, \quad -2 \leq j, s < n - 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that A_0, A_1, A_2 are just the sets of all E_{js}^{ir} that appear as coefficients of r_0, r_1, r_2 in (1), correspondingly. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 \setminus A_0 &= \{E_{js}^{ir} \mid i + j + r + s = d - 4, \quad n - 2 \in \{i, r\} \text{ or } -1 \in \{j, s\}\}, \\ A_1 \setminus A_2 &= \{E_{js}^{ir} \mid i + j + r + s = d - 4, \quad -1 \in \{i, r\} \text{ or } n - 2 \in \{j, s\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $i + j + r + s = d - 4 \leq 2n - 5$, $A_1 \setminus A_0 \cup A_2$ is either empty or consists of only E_{js}^{ir} with $\min\{i, j, r, s\} < 0$ which are 0. Hence we can conclude that for each nonzero E_{js}^{ir} that appears in (1), either $E_{js}^{ir} \in A_0$ or $E_{js}^{ir} \in A_2$.

We shall need the following subsets

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 \setminus A_2 &= \{E_{js}^{ir} \mid i+j+r+s=d-4, \quad -2 \leq i, r < n-2, \quad 0 \leq j, s < n, \\ &\quad \{-2, -1\} \cap \{i, r\} \neq \emptyset \text{ or } \{n-2, n-1\} \cap \{j, s\} \neq \emptyset\}, \\ A_2 \setminus A_0 &= \{E_{js}^{ir} \mid i+j+r+s=d-4, \quad 0 \leq i, r < n, \quad -2 \leq j, s < n-2, \\ &\quad \{n-2, n-1\} \cap \{i, r\} \neq \emptyset \text{ or } \{-2, -1\} \cap \{j, s\} \neq \emptyset\}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix a nonzero E_{js}^{ir} , we would like to show that it is divisible by $g(t)$ as claimed. We will consider three cases, according as $E_{js}^{ir} \in A_0 \setminus A_2$, $E_{js}^{ir} \in A_2 \setminus A_0$ and $E_{js}^{ir} \in A_0 \cap A_2$.

First suppose $E_{js}^{ir} \in A_0 \setminus A_2$. Then $\{n-2, n-1\} \cap \{j, s\} \neq \emptyset$. We claim that, for each integer l such that $E_{j-l, s-l}^{i+l, r+l}$ exists and has nonnegative indices, we have $E_{j-l, s-l}^{i+l, r+l} \in A_0$. If not, suppose $E_{j-l, s-l}^{i+l, r+l} \in A_2 \setminus A_0$ and has nonnegative indices. Then, by the description above, we have $\{n-2, n-1\} \cap \{i+l, r+l\} \neq \emptyset$. Thus

$$i+j+r+s = (i+r) + (j+s) \geq (n-2-l) + (n-2+l) = 2n-4 > d-4,$$

a contradiction. The relation in (2) can be rewritten as

$$r_0 E_{js}^{ir} \equiv -r_1 E_{j-1, s-1}^{i+1, r+1} - r_2 E_{j-2, s-2}^{i+2, r+2} \pmod{r_0^{2n} r_2^{2n} g(t)}.$$

By what we just discussed, the elements $E_{j-1, s-1}^{i+1, r+1}$ and $E_{j-2, s-2}^{i+2, r+2}$ either have some negative indices or belong to A_0 . If any of them belong to A_0 then by multiplying both sides by r_0 we can, using (2), replace it by combinations of some E with all the subscripts decreased by at least 1. Keep doing this process until we end up with elements with some negative indices, which are 0. Since each time the subscripts decreased by at least 1, we need at most $\min\{j, s\}$ steps, hence the power of r_0 on the left-hand side will be at most $\min\{j, s\}+1$. We obtain that

$$r_0^{\min\{j, s\}+1} E_{js}^{ir} \equiv 0 \pmod{r_0^{2n} r_2^{2n} g(t)}.$$

It follows that $E_{js}^{ir} \equiv 0 \pmod{g(t)}$ since $\min\{j, s\} + 1 < 2n$.

By a symmetric argument, if $E_{js}^{ir} \in A_2 \setminus A_0$ then

$$r_2^{\min\{i, r\}+1} E_{js}^{ir} \equiv 0 \pmod{r_0^{2n} r_2^{2n} g(t)},$$

which results that $E_{js}^{ir} \equiv 0 \pmod{g(t)}$.

Now we consider the last case, namely when $E_{js}^{ir} \in A_0 \cap A_2$. We use again the relation

$$r_0 E_{js}^{ir} \equiv r_1 E_{j-1, s-1}^{i+1, r+1} - r_2 E_{j-2, s-2}^{i+2, r+2} \pmod{r_0^{2n} r_2^{2n} g(t)}.$$

Each nonzero E on the right-hand side is either in $A_0 \cap A_2$ or in $A_0 \setminus A_2$ or in $A_2 \setminus A_0$. If any of them is in $A_0 \cap A_2$, then by multiplying both sides by r_0 we can express it as a combination

of other E with subscripts decreased by at least 1. Iterating this process, we can conclude that there is a relation

$$r_0^l E_{js}^{ir} \equiv \sum_{\tau=1}^h c_\tau E_{j-\tau, s-\tau}^{i+\tau, r+\tau} \pmod{r_0^{2n} r_2^{2n} g(t)},$$

in which $l, h \leq \min\{j, s\} + 1$ and each $E_{j-\tau, s-\tau}^{i+\tau, r+\tau}$ is either in $A_0 \setminus A_2$ or in $A_2 \setminus A_0$. It follows from the discussion above that for each τ ,

$$r_0^{1+\min\{j-\tau, s-\tau\}} r_2^{1+\min\{i+\tau, r+\tau\}} E_{j-\tau, s-\tau}^{i+\tau, r+\tau} \equiv 0 \pmod{r_0^{2n} r_2^{2n} g(t)}.$$

Hence

$$r_0^{1+l+\min\{j-1, s-1\}} r_2^{1+\min\{i+h, r+h\}} E_{js}^{ir} \equiv 0 \pmod{r_0^{2n} r_2^{2n} g(t)},$$

and this gives us that $E_{js}^{ir} \equiv 0 \pmod{g(t)}$ since

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \min\{i + h, r + h\} &\leq 2n, \\ 1 + l + \min\{j - 1, s - 1\} &< 2n. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the claim, hence of the proposition. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. *Use the same notation and hypothesis as in Lemma 4.5(c). We then have*

$$(I_n : r_0^{3n} r_2^{3n} L_n) = (I_n + (u, v, x, y)^{2n}) : r_0^{2n} r_2^{2n}$$

and this ideal is primary to the ideal (u, v, x, y) if $r_0 r_2$ is nonzero.

Proof. The inclusion

$$(I_n : r_0^{3n} r_2^{3n} L_n) \supseteq (I_n + (u, v, x, y)^{2n}) : r_0^{2n} r_2^{2n}$$

follows from Lemma 4.5. For the converse,

$$\begin{aligned} I_n : L_n r_0^{3n} r_2^{3n} &\subseteq \left((I_n + (u, v, x, y)^{2n}) : r_0^{2n} r_2^{2n} \right) : r_0^n r_2^n L_n \\ &= (I_n + (u, v, x, y)^{2n}) : r_0^{2n} r_2^{2n} \quad \text{by Proposition 4.6.} \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion that $(I_n + (u, v, x, y)^{2n}) : r_0^{2n} r_2^{2n}$ is primary to the ideal (u, v, x, y) is another immediate consequence of Proposition 4.6. $\square$

We now prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.8. *Let k be a field of prime characteristic p . Consider the hypersurface*

$$R = \frac{k[t, u, v, x, y]}{(r_0 u^2 x^2 + r_1 u x v y + r_2 v^2 y^2)},$$

where r_0, r_1, r_2 are polynomials in $k[t]$. Let $I = (u, v, x, y)$. Suppose that $r_0 r_2$ is nonzero and that $2 \deg r_1 > \deg r_0 + \deg r_2$. Then there is a constant c such that for each $q = p^e$, there is a primary decomposition

$$I^{[q]} = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_s$$

satisfying $(\sqrt{Q_i})^{c[q]} \subseteq Q_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.7 that the ideal $I^{[q]} : r_0^{3q} r_2^{3q} L_q$ is primary to the ideal (u, v, x, y) . Recall that the polynomial L_q is defined to be the least common multiple of the polynomials $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{q-1}$. It is readily seen that the degrees of the polynomials P_n are bounded above by a linear function in n . Thus there is a constant c such that for each $q = p^e$ the polynomial $h_q(t) = r_0^{3q} r_2^{3q} L_q$ has an irreducible decomposition

$$h_q(t) = \tau_1^{s_1} \cdots \tau_l^{s_l}$$

in which $s_i \leq cq$ for all $i = 1, \dots, l$. Therefore the Frobenius powers of the ideal $I = (u, v, x, y)$ have linear growth of primary decompositions by Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 2.1. $\square$

5. ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF SINGH AND SWANSON

Consider the hypersurface

$$R = \frac{k[t, u, v, w, x, y, z]}{(u^2 x^2 + v^2 y^2 + t u x v y + t w^2 z^2)}$$

with k an arbitrary field. This is a UFD and is F-regular if $\text{char } k = p > 0$. It was proved in [7, Theorem 6.6] that if $\text{char } k = p > 0$ then the set

$$\bigcup_{q=p^e} \text{Ass} \frac{R}{(x^q, y^q, z^q)}$$

has infinitely many maximal elements. Again, we can modify their proof to obtain the following

Theorem 5.1. *Consider the hypersurface*

$$R = \frac{k[t, u, v, w, x, y, z]}{(u^2 x^2 + v^2 y^2 + t u x v y + t w^2 z^2)},$$

where k is a field of prime characteristic $p > 0$. Then the set

$$\bigcup_{q=p^e} \text{Ass} \frac{R}{(u^q, v^q, w^q, x^q, y^q, z^q)}$$

has infinitely many (maximal) elements.

Proof. Consider the ideal

$$J_q = (u^q, v^q, w^q, x^q, y^q, z^q) R :_R (ux)(vy)^{q-2} uvw^{q-1}.$$

Set $A_0 = k[t]$ and $A = \frac{k[t][a, b, c]}{(a^2 + b^2 + tab + tc^2)}$. With this setting then the ring R can be represented as

$$R = \frac{A[u, v, w, x, y, z]}{(ux - a, vy - b, wz - c)}.$$

Applying Proposition 4.1 with $f = ab^{q-2} \in A_{q-1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_q \cap k[t] &= (u^q, v^q, w^q, x^q, y^q, z^q)R :_{k[t]} (ux)(vy)^{q-2}uvw^{q-1} \\
 &= (u^q, v^q, w^q, x^q, y^q, z^q)R :_{k[t]} fuvw^{q-1} \\
 &= (a^{q-1}, b^{q-1}, c)A :_{k[t]} ab^{q-2} \\
 &= (P_{q-2}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where P_{q-2} is the polynomial defined in Lemma 4.2. Now we can now use the same argument as in the last paragraph of Theorem 4.3. $\square$

However we do not know if the Frobenius powers of the ideal (u, v, w, x, y, z) have linear growth of primary decomposition. Thus we would like to ask:

Question. Let k be a field of prime characteristic p . Do the Frobenius powers of the ideal $I = (u, v, w, x, y, z)$ in the hypersurface

$$R = \frac{k[t, u, v, w, x, y, z]}{(u^2x^2 + v^2y^2 + tuxvy + tw^2z^2)}$$

have linear growth of primary decompositions?

6. THE EXAMPLE OF BRENNER AND MONSKY

Consider the hypersurface

$$R = \frac{k[t, x, y, z]}{(z^4 + z^2xy + zx^3 + zy^3 + tx^2y^2)},$$

where k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2. This hypersurface was first studied by Monsky in [4] and recently it was used again by Brenner-Monsky to disprove the localization conjecture in tight closure theory. More specifically, Brenner and Monsky showed that $I_S^* \neq (I_S)^*$ for $I = (x^4, y^4, z^4)$ and $S = k[t] \setminus \{0\}$, where $(-)^*$ denotes the tight closure operation.

It is reasonable to ask whether there is any example of ideals that do not have the linear growth property. We restate the theorem of Sharp and Nossem in [6] which relates the linear growth property and the localization problem.

Theorem 6.1. [6, Theorem 7.14] *Let R be a ring of positive characteristic p and I an ideal of R . Suppose that R has a p^{m_0} -weak test element, and that the set $\bigcup_{q=p^e} \text{Ass } R/I^{[q]}$ is finite.*

Then the tight closure of I commutes with the localization at any multiplicative subset of R if the Frobenius powers of I have linear growth of primary decompositions.

Consider the ring R and the ideal I in the example of Brenner and Monsky mentioned above. The ring R is an affine domain over a field, hence it possesses a test element. Thus, in view of the above theorem, and the result of Brenner and Monsky, the linear growth property would fail for I if the set $\bigcup_{q=2^e} \text{Ass } R/I^{[q]}$ is finite. Thus it would be interesting to know the answer to the following question.

Question. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2. Set

$$R = \frac{k[t, x, y, z]}{(z^4 + z^2xy + zx^3 + zy^3 + tx^2y^2)}.$$

Is the set

$$\bigcup_{q=2^e} \text{Ass } \frac{R}{(x^q, y^q, z^q)}$$

finite?

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Irena Swanson for suggesting the problem and for many useful discussions. He would also like to thank Paul C. Roberts for his financial support. The author is grateful to Anurag Singh and the referee for several valuable comments.

Remark. Recently, after the final version of the paper was submitted for publication, the author was able to show, using Monsky's calculations in [1] and [4], that the question above has a negative answer, namely that the set $\bigcup \text{Ass } R/(x^q, y^q, z^q)$ is actually infinite.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Brenner, P. Monsky, *Tight closure does not commute with localization*. arXiv:0710.2913.
- [2] C. Huneke, *The saturation of Frobenius powers of ideals*. Special issue in honor of Robin Hartshorne. Comm. Algebra **28** (2000), no. 12, 5563–5572.
- [3] M. Katzman, *Finiteness of $\bigcup_e \text{Ass } F^e(M)$ and its connection to tight closure*, Illinois J. Math. **40** (1996), no. 2, 330–337.
- [4] P. Monsky, *Hilbert-Kunz functions in a family: Point- S_4 quartics*, J. Algebra **208** (1998), 343–358.
- [5] R. Sharp, *Injective modules and linear growth of primary decompositions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), no. 3, 717–722.
- [6] R. Sharp and N. Nossem, *Ideals in a perfect closure, linear growth of primary decompositions, and tight closure*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), no. 9, 3687–3720.
- [7] A. Singh and I. Swanson, *Associated primes of local cohomology and of Frobenius powers*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **33** (2004), 1703–1733.
- [8] K. Smith and I. Swanson, *Linear bounds on growth of associated primes for monomial ideals*, Comm. in Algebra **25** (1997), 3071–3079.
- [9] I. Swanson, *Powers of ideals. Primary decompositions, Artin-Rees lemma and regularity*, Math. Ann. **307** (1997), no. 2, 299–313.
- [10] I. Swanson, *Ten lectures on tight closures*, IPM Lecture Notes Series 3, Tehran, 2002.
- [11] A. Vraciu, *Local cohomology of Frobenius images over graded affine algebras*, J. Algebra **228** (2000), no. 1, 347–356.

- [12] Y. Yao, *Primary decomposition: compatibility, independence and linear growth*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), no. 6, 1629–1637.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84112
Email address: `dinh@math.utah.edu`.